Constitution of a Committee to go into the details of Communal Government Order.

*Q.—38. Sri J. B. MALLARADHYA (Nanjangud).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the High Court of Mysore on a writ application has recently held that the Government Order relating to admissions to Colleges on a communal basis is unconstitutional;

(b) whether the implications of this Order have been examined at Governmental level and if so, with what result;

(c) whether they have constituted a Committee to go into this question and if so the personnel and the details of the terms of reference?

A.—Sri ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Minister for Education).—

(a) The High Court has held that the State Government has power to make an order classifying the backward classes on the basis of castes, but the order in question is not based on any intelligible principle.

(b) The matter is under consideration.

(c) The Government has constituted a Cabinet Sub-Committee with the following members to go into the entire question in all its aspects, on the general question of Backward Classes:—

(i) The Minister for Revenue;
(ii) The Minister for Law, Labour and Local Self-Government.
(iii) The Minister for Finance:
(iv) The Minister for Forest and Transport;
(v) The Minister for Agriculture, Excise and Social Welfare.

Revised Pay Scales to Road Inspectors in Kollegal Taluk.

*Q.—124. Smt. KEMPAMMA (Kollegal).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) the number of Road Inspectors in Kollegal taluk;

(b) the pay, D.A. and T.A. given them;

(c) whether revised pay scales and allocation benefits have been given to them?

A.—Sri H. M. CHANNABASAPPA (Minister for Public Works and Electricity).—

(a) Eleven.

(b) The pay ranges from Rs. 30 to Rs. 35 p.m. Dearness Allowance in all cases is Rs. 25 p.m. No T.A. is normally payable to them according to rules as they are borne on temporary work charged establishment.

(c) No.

Honorarium, etc., to the Chairman of State Khadi and Village Industries Board.

*Q. 174. Sri J.L. KABADI (Indi).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether the honorarium and allowance to be paid to the Chairman of the State Khadi and Village Industries Board has been fixed;

(b) whether the State Board has made any recommendations in this connection;

(c) if so, what are they;

(d) to what extent the Government has accepted the recommendations;

(e) the reasons for the delay in fixing the honorarium and other items pertaining to the post?

A.—Smt. LEELAVATHI MAGADI VENKATESH (Deputy Minister for Rural Industries).—

(a) Yes.

(b) Yes.

(c) The Mysore State Khadi and Village Industries Board has recommended the following as per their resolution dated 18th October 1958.

"1. The provision of Rs. 3,600 sanctioned by the Government for the payment of honorarium to the Chairman against Rs. 17,270 proposed by the Board was considered to be inadequate.

It was therefore felt that the Government may be requested to

provide the Chairman the following amenities:

(a) Honorarium at Rs. 500 per month from the date he assumed charge, *viz.*, 23rd September 1957;

(b) A free furnished residence;

(c) A touring van for facility of visiting the Centres of activities of the Board in the entire State; and

(d) Conveyance allowance."

(*d*) These are under consideration of Government.

(*e*) It is only the revision of the orders already passed that is under consideration and this requires some examination.

ADJOURNMENT MOTIONS

Re: Lathi charges on students at Bangalore and Mysore

Mr. SPEAKER.–Hon'ble the member Sri B. K. Nagur and a number of other hon'ble members have given notice of adjournment motions relating to the situation arising out of lathi charges made on students in front of the Vidhana Soudha on the 8th instant. Similar notices have also been received in respect of firings and lathi charges at Mysore yesterday.

There is a long line of rulings not only in the old Mysore State but in every Legislature in India and elsewhere on this question. Where the subject matter of an adjournment motion involves the ordinary administration of law and the maintenance of law and order, such a motion would be inadmissible. Whether it is a lathi charge or police firing, so long as it was undertaken in the maintenance of law and order, it cannot form the subject of an adjournment motion. As an adjournment motion, therefore, the matters raised in the several notices are inadmissible and I have, therefore, to hold that all these notices are not in order.

However, since the matter is one of some importance and would naturally cause concern to all the members in this House, I thought it desirable that this House should have an opportunity to debate the situation. I, therefore, consulted the Hon. Chief Minister and he has agreed to make an appropriate motion under Rule 279. As Hon'ble members are aware, on such motion the House can discuss the matter, but there will be no voting. Hon'ble Chief Minister will be making this motion tomorrow.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕುದೂರು ರುದ್ರಪ್ಪ (ಚನ್ನಗಿರಿ).—ಸ್ವಾಮಿ, ತಾವು ಆಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ವಸತಿಯನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ. ಕೆಲವು ಹುಡುಗರು ನಾವು ಇರುವ ಜನರರ್ ಹಾಸ್ಟಲ್ ಕಟ್ಟಡದ ಕಡೆ ಕಲ್ಲು ಹೊಡೆದರು. ದೈವ ವಶಾತ್ ನಮಗೆ ತಾಕಲಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮಾರು 50 ಜನ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಬಾಗಿಲು ಹಾಕಿಕೊಂಡು ಒಳಗೇ ಕುಳಿತು ಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದೆವು. ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಫೋನ್ ಮಾಡಿ, ನಮಗೆ ರಕ್ಷಣೆ ಇಲ್ಲ, ಹುಡುಗರ ಗದಾಟೆ ಬಹಳವಾಗಿದೆ, ಅಸೆಂಬ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೋಗುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ವೇಳೆ ಯಾಯಿತು ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ ಮೇಲೆ ಅವರು ಕೂಡಲೇ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರನ್ನು ಕಳಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ರಕ್ಷಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಎಲ್ಲರೂ ಬಂದೆವು. ನಾವು ಹೊರಗಡೆ ಬರುವ ಹಾಗೆಯೇ ಇಲ್ಲ. ಇಂಥ ಪರಿಸ್ಥಿತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಮಗೆ ಏನು ಸಹಾಯ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೀರಿ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ರಾಮಪ್ಪ (ಹರಿಹರ).—ನಿಲುವಳಿ ಸೂಚನೆ ಕಳಿಸಿದ ಸದಸ್ಯರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಂಗ್ರೆಸ್ ಪಕ್ಷದವರೂ ಇದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ ಅಥವಾ ವಿರೋಧಪಕ್ಷದವರು ಮಾತ್ರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದಾರೆಯೇ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—I will answer your question. I have not given the names of members on the request made by them to me.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I want to know whether they are from the Congress Party or from the Opposition.

Mr. SPEAKER.—You are doing injustice to your own people because they have asked me not to give out their names.

In the matter of adjournment motion, I am not liable to come to the House and announce it here in every case. I have discussed with the Chief Minister and he expressed his willingness to give time to discuss the matter covered by the adjournment motion tomorrow. That is why and have made announcement in the House. After all, anybody who is interested to know the names can come to my Chamber.

Sri M. RAMAPPA.—I am asking the parties and not the names.